

Mixed Partialials – Young’s Theorem

This document contains a proof of the equality of mixed partials under a natural assumption. The theorem is due to W. H. Young [1], but his proof is hard to follow. I hope this proof is easy to follow. It is essentially due to Dieudonne. There is a nice exposition in Pugh’s text. This exposition is that proof, with perhaps simpler notation.

Theorem 1. *Suppose $f(x, y)$ is defined in a neighborhood of a point (a, b) . Suppose the partial derivatives f_x, f_y are defined in a neighborhood of (a, b) and are differentiable at (a, b) . (In particular this implies that f_x, f_y are continuous at (a, b) , but it is not assumed that their derivatives exist anywhere other than at (a, b) .) A short statement of the assumption is that $Df = [f_x, f_y]$ is differentiable at (a, b) . This is sometimes stated as f is twice differentiable at (a, b) . Then*

$$(f_x)_y(a, b) = (f_y)_x(a, b),$$

sometimes stated as

$$f_{xy}(a, b) = f_{yx}(a, b).$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$\Delta(t) = [f(a + t, b + t) - f(a + t, b)] - [f(a, b + t) - f(a, b)].$$

If we let $g(s) = f(a + s, b + t) - f(a + s, b)$

$$\Delta(t) = g(t) - g(0).$$

By the mean value theorem

$$\Delta(t) = g(t) - g(0) = tg'(\xi) \tag{1}$$

$$= [f_x(a + \xi, b + t) - f_x(a + \xi, b)]t \tag{2}$$

$$= [f_x(a, b) + (f_x)_x(a, b)\xi + (f_x)_y(a, b)t + p_1(t)\xi + p_2(t)t]t \tag{3}$$

$$- [f_x(a, b) + (f_x)_x(a, b)\xi + q_1(t)\xi]t \tag{4}$$

$$= (f_x)_y(a, b)t^2 + [p_1(t)\xi + q_1(t)\xi + p_2(t)t]t. \tag{5}$$

Now divide by t^2 and remember that $|\xi| < |t|$ to get

$$\frac{\Delta(t)}{t^2} = (f_x)_y(a, b) + [p_1(t)\xi + q_1(t)\xi + p_2(t)t]/t.$$

The last term goes to 0 as $t \rightarrow 0$. Hence

$$(f_x)_y(a, b) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta(t)}{t^2}.$$

The argument is symmetric in x and y , so

$$(f_x)_y(a, b) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta(t)}{t^2} = (f_y)_x(a, b).$$

□

Neat, huh!

[1]. Young, W. H., "On the Conditions for the Reversibility of the Order of Partial Differentiation", Proceedings Royal Society of Edinburgh, v. 29 (1908-09), p. 136-164.